

Update on clinical trials in myeloma



UK Myeloma Forum

Kwee Yong UCL January 2020





Current NICE approved pathway



UK Myeloma Research Alliance







Overview of MRA studies

MGUS/ Smouldering myeloma	Newly diagnosed MM	First Relapse	Later Relapses	Supportive Care and Special patient populations	
Observational study (CRUK	MUKnine	Myeloma XII ACCORD	MUK 7 MUK 8	Antibiotic prophylaxis	TEAMM (TEAMM2)
Early Detection programme)	etection MUK11 amme)	MUK11	Supportive care	ENCOMPASS	
DEFENSE Anti-RANK- ligand Ab vs	CARDAMON		CARP programme: PROMISS	Plasma cell leukemia	EMN Study
placebo				Renal impairment	
	Myeloma XIV				
	Myeloma XV				



Trials in newly diagnosed patients: *:A new paradigm of risk stratification*



What is the most important risk in older patients?



IMWG Frailty score – the gold standard

- 869 patients from 3 international EMN trials
 - All novel agents bortezomib, lenalidomide or carfilzomib
- Geriatric assessments
 - Age
 - Katz's Activity of Daily Living and Instrumental ADL
 - Charlson Comorbidity Index
- Multivariate analysis, including ISS, chromosomal abnormalities and treatment



IMWG Frailty score – the gold standard in MM?





IMWG Frailty score								
FIT Age ≤75 years, ADL >4, IADL >5, and CCI ≤1 ASCT eligibility: cardiac function (LVEF >40%) liver function (bilirubin <1.5 ULN, AST/ALT <2.5 ULN) pulmonary function (DLCO/FEV1 >40-80%)			INTERMEDIATE-FIT Age 76-80 years or ADL ≤ 4 or IADL ≤ 5 or CCI ≥ 2	FRAIL Age >80 years regardless of ADL, IADL, CCI or Age 76-80 years and either ADL ≤ 4, IADL ≤5, CCI ≥2 or Age ≤75 years and at least two of the following: ADL ≤4, IADL ≤5, CCI ≥2				
ASCT No ASCT			Reduced-intensity regimens	Dose-adjusted regimens				
MEL200 mg/m ² if: - age ≤70 years - no renal impairment - rMCI 1-3	MEL100-140 mg/m ² if: - age >70 years - and/or renal impairment - and/or rMCI 4-6	Dara-VMP Dara-Rd VRd VCd	Weekly VMP Weekly VCd Vd Rd	rd° vd°				
 performance status ≥90% (not related to MM) 	 and/or performance status <90% (not related to MM) 	Rd*	vrd lite°	Palliation and supportive care				
Y			S					

RESEARCH ALLIANCE

UKMRA Myeloma Risk Profile (MRP)

A clinical prediction model for outcome and therapy delivery in transplant-ineligible patients with myeloma (UK Myeloma Research Alliance Risk Profile): a development and validation study

Gordon Cook, Kara-Louise Royle, Charlotte Pawlyn, Anna Hockaday, Vallari Shah, Martin F. Kaiser, Sarah R. Brown, Walter M. Gregory, J. Anthony Child, Faith E. Davies, Gareth J. Morgan, David A. Cairns, Graham H.Jackson





n=849



Cook et al, Lancet Haem, 2019



UKMRA Myeloma XIV FITNEsS

Frailty-adjusted therapy In Transplant Non-Eligible patientS with Symptomatic myeloma





PI: Prof Gordon Cook & Prof Graham Jackson



Objectives

Clinical

Primary

The primary objectives are to compare:

- Impact of treatment dose delivery of frailty index adjusted up-front dose reductions vs standard up-front toxicity-dependent reactive dose-modifications during induction therapy, at randomisation 1 (R1).
- PFS of maintenance treatment with lenalidomide (R) vs lenalidomide and ixazomib (IR).

Secondary

- ORR, sCR/CR rate
- Early mortality (<60 days), safety and tolerability
- MRD negativity rate
- Overall survival
- Impact of treatment interventions on outcomes in molecular high-risk disease
- Assess the utility of the UKMRA MRP

Exploratory

Patient Reported Outcomes

- Patients will be asked to complete:
 - EORTC QLQ-C30
 - myeloma specific module, EORTC QLQ-MY20, at key time points.

Frailty Biomarker Discovery

- Cellular senescence and DNA damage markers
 - Immune component quantification
 - Proteomics: SASP, IL-6 (CRP), TNFα, IL-1Rα, sVCAM
 - DNA damage: Telomere length, p16^{INK4a},
- Immuno-genomic & Inheritable variance in expressed genes (SNPs) biomarker discovery
- Imaging biomarkers

Tumour Genome

• MLPA, GWAS, mutational analysis

MRD

NGF vs NGS



Early or late ASCT – who needs upfront ASCT?



CARDAMON: Carfilzomib/Cyclophosphamide/**D**ex**a**methasone with **m**aintenance carfilzomib in untreated transplant-eligible patients with symptomatic MM to evaluate the benefit of upfr**on**t ASCT



Stratifying according to risk factors in younger patients

Genetic risk?
Response to therapy?





- Primary endpoints: PFS and OS for each randomization
- Median follow up 34.5 months
- Data cut off for this analysis 16th April 2018 and includes contemporaneously randomized patients only

Myeloma Forum Patients were ineligible for the CVD randomisation if they had achieved a CR or VGPR to induction (went straight to ASCT if eligible or maintenance if not) or had PD or SD to induction (all primary refractory received CVD). Patients were ineligible for the maintenance randomisation if they failed to respond to lenalidomide as their induction IMiD or failed to respond to all trial induction treatment, had PD or had previous or concurrent active malignancies. Dose adjustments for renal impairment and following AEs were permitted.



Response to initial induction





Myeloma





22

Progression-free survival

...





Progression-free survival by risk



KCRD improved PFS compared to triplets in all risk groups



- Standard risk (SR) absence of any high risk lesions.
- High risk (HiR) presence of any one of t(4;14), t(14;16), t(14;20), del(17p), or gain(1q).
- Ultra-high risk (UHiR) presence of more than one lesion.



Stratifying treatment according to risk: MUK9b: OPTIMUM Trial

Cls: Dr Martin Kaiser & Dr Matt Jenner





Objectives

Primary

To assess whether molecular riskdefining investigations can be turned around within 8 weeks

Secondary

In a real world front line therapy setting

1. To assess the feasibility of a phase III trial in this setting in terms of recruitment rates.

2. To summarise progression-free survival, second progression-free survival (PFS2) and overall survival in this setting

3. To summarise anti-myeloma treatment received first and second-line in this setting, including reasons for stopping treatment

4. To summarise response to anti-myeloma treatment received first and second-line in this setting





UKMRA Myeloma XV

RADAR: Risk Adapted therapy Directed According to Response comparing treatment escalation and de-escalation strategies in newly diagnosed patients with multiple myeloma suitable for stem cell transplantation

Pls: Kwee Yong, Mark Cook



NCRI



Myeloma XV (RADAR): Primary endpoints

Standard risk patients

- <u>MRD positive patients:</u> Conversion of MRD positive to MRD negative disease, comparing activity and efficacy of post-ASCT consolidation + maintenance strategies using lenalidomide vs lenalidomide-PI +/- isatuximab
- <u>MRD negative patients</u>: **Progression-free survival**, comparing continuous isatuximab treatment with **ceasing isatuximab after 12 months** (non-inferiority)

High risk patients

 Progression-free survival, evaluating the benefit of adding isatuximab to lenalidomide-PI in post-ASCT consolidation + maintenance



Trials in special patient groups



EMN12 Phase 2 study in primary plasma cell leukemia: Younger patients





EMN12: Elderly patients: ≥65 years

Induction

8 x carfilzomib-lenalidomidedexamethasone

Maintenance

Lenalidomide 10 mg daily on days 1-21 Carfilzomib once daily on days 1,2,15,16 until progression



Trials in relapsed patients:

What are the important questions, what are our aims?

- ➤What is the best regimen?
- ➤Should we stratify? if so, how?
- How do we get our patients access to the newest compounds?
- >What is the place of the new immuno-therapies?
- >What is the role of next generation drugs?
 - do patients failing one CD38 antibody respond to re-challenge?
 - >What about IMiDs? Do patients failing Pomalidomide response to Iberdomide?
- ➤- Is there a role for CAR-T cell therapy?



How to optimise treatment of patients eligible for second (salvage) ASCT?



ACCoRD UK Myeloma Research Alliance Myeloma XII <u>Augmented Conditioning & Co</u>nsolidation in <u>R</u>elapsed <u>D</u>isease



Total Recruitment Target: 406 first relapse patients



CI: Prof Gordon Cook

Objectives

Primary

R1

 Depth of Response (DoR: <VGPR vs. ≥VGPR) with augmented ASCT

R2

 The influence of a consolidation and maintenance strategy on the Durability of Response (DuR: PFS)



Secondary R1 & R2

- Overall survival
- Time to disease progression
- The overall response rate following ixazomib, thalidomide and dexamethasone (ITD) re-induction
- Duration of Response (DoR), Time to next treatment (TtNT) & Progression-free survival 2 (PFS2)
- MRD^{negative} rate post re-induction, post-ASCT and conversion after ITD consolidation
- Engraftment kinetics
- Toxicity, safety & Quality of life (QoL)



Key Study Inclusion & Exclusion Criteria



Inclusion criteria

- Diagnosed with relapsed MM previously treated with ASCT with 1st progression requiring treatment >12 months from ASCT.
- ECOG Performance Status 0-2.
- Aged at least 18 years.
- Adequate haematological function:
 - Absolute neutrophil count (ANC) ≥1x10⁹/L
 - Platelet count ≥75x10⁹/L. If the participant has ≥50% bone marrow infiltration a platelet count of ≥50x10⁹/L is allowed.
- Adequate renal function (Creatinine clearance ≥30ml/min)
- Adequate hepatobiliary function
- Adequate pulmonary function (KCO/DLCO ≥50%).
- Adequate cardiac function (LVEF ≥40%)
- Able to provide written informed consent.

Exclusion criteria

- Received prior second line therapy for their relapsed disease
- ≥Grade 2 peripheral neuropathy
- Known HIV or Hepatitis B/C seropositivity.
- Known resistance, intolerance or sensitivity to any component of the planned therapies.
- Any medical or psychiatric condition which, contraindicates the participant's participation in this study.
- Previous or concurrent malignancies at other sites
- Pregnant, lactating or breast feeding female participants.
- Central nervous system involvement with myeloma.
- Patients that have previously been treated with ixazomib



Recruitment





Randomization progress



R1

R2



Upcoming studies with new (pipeline) agents, innovative trial designs

- Platform studies with one pharma agent in several different combinations
- Innovative strategy in special patient group
 - EMN study in Primary Plasma Cell Leukemia
- ENCOMPASS study covering several aspects of supportive care



Platform studies with Single industry partner





ProMMise (CARP 2019/001)



A Platform trial for Relapsed patients to evaluate Ongoing novel therapies in Multiple Myeloma In combination with Standard of care therapies



CI: Dr Rakesh Popat



Biomarker studies

Biomarker	Studies	PI	
Tumour genome	Myeloma XI, Myeloma XIV, MUK7, MUK8, MUK9, MUK11	Martin Kaiser, ICR, London	
MRD (MFC, NGS)	Myeloma X, Myeloma XI, Myeloma XII, Myeloma XIV, Myeloma XV, MUK9	Roger Owen, HMDS, Leeds	
Immune Biomarkers	Myeloma X, Myeloma XII, Myeloma XIV, MUK8, MUK11	Gordon Cook, University of Leeds	
Frailty Biomarkers	Myeloma XIV, MUK8	Gordon Cook, University of Leeds	
Marrow environment immune profiling	Myeloma XV	Kwee Yong, UCL, London	
Imaging	Studies	PI	
DW MRI	MUK9	Martin Kaiser, ICR, London	



UK MRA - Acknowledgments



John Ashcroft, Maria Atta, Holger Auner, Supratik Basu, Reuben Benjamin, Jenny Bird, Stella Bowcock, Kevin Boyd, Ceri Bygrave, David Cairns, Jamie Cavenagh, Andy Chantry, Mike Chapman, Rups Chouduri, Charles Crawley, Kirtsy Cuthill, Mark Drayson, Inas El-Najjar, Louise Flanagan, Rachel Hall, Andrew Hall, Anna Hockaday, Hannah Hunter, Graham Jackson, Matt Jenner, Kamar Karunanithi, Bhuvan Kishore, Mariell Lamacchia, Sally Moore, Guy Pratt, Charlotte Pawlyn, Kim Orchard, Roger Owen, Chris Parrish, Neil Rabin, Karthik Ramasamy, Simon Ridley, Alberto Rocci, Jonathan Sive, Dean Smith Matt Streetly, Richard Soutar, Jane Tighe



Patients & Staff

