

#### Pain Management and Psychology

#### VII National Conference - Psychiatry and Physical Health

America Square Centre, December 2019



#### **Dr Ashish Shetty**

MBBS, MD(USA), FRCA, FFPMRCA Consultant, National Hospital for Neurology & Neurosurgery, UCLH Honorary Consultant, Great Ormond Street Hospital Honorary Teaching Fellow, UCL



uclh

University College London Hospitals

## Disclosures

- Clinical investigator: Nevro Corp, USA
- Clinical investigator: Medtronic
- Speaker : Pfizer
- Bias: Neuromodulation



nclh

University College London Hospitals

#### **Snapshot of Pain Problem**

- 8 million chronic pain sufferers (U.K)
- 10% population : disabling back pain
- £12 billion: back pain burden
- \$600 billion: USA



uclh

University College London Hospitals

## Dept of Pain Medicine (n=82)

- Nurse specialists
- Physiotherapists
- Psychologists
- Pain Physicians
- Admin Staff
- Therapy Dog









nclh



| Score | Description   |   | Implication                |  |
|-------|---|---|----------------------------|--|
| 1A+   | Effectiveness demonstrated in various RCTs of good quality. The benefits clearly outweigh risk and burdens  | ) |                            |  |
| 1B+   | One RCT or more RCTs with methodological weaknesses, demonstrate effectiveness.<br>The benefits clearly outweigh risk and burdens   | } | Positive recommendation    |  |
| 2B+   | One or more RCTs with methodological weaknesses, demonstrate effectiveness.<br>Benefits closely balanced with risk and burdens  | J | J                          |  |
| 2B±   | Multiple RCTs, with methodological weaknesses, yield contradictory results better or worse than the control treatment. Benefits closely balanced with risk and burdens, or uncertainty in the estimates of benefits, risk and burdens | ) | Considered, preferably     |  |
| 2C+   | Effectiveness only demonstrated in observational studies. Given that there is no conclusive evidence of the effect, benefits closely balanced with risk and burdens   | J | study-related              |  |
| 0     | There is no literature or there are case reports available, but these are insufficient to prove effectiveness and/or safety. These treatments should only be applied in relation to studies   |   | Only study-related         |  |
| 2C-   | Observational studies indicate no or too short-lived effectiveness. Given that there is no positive clinical effect, risk and burdens outweigh the benefit  | ) |                            |  |
| 2B-   | One or more RCTs with methodological weaknesses, or large observational studies that do not indicate any superiority to the control treatment. Given that there is no positive clinical effect, risk and burdens outweigh the benefit | ł | Negative<br>recommendation |  |
| 2A-   | RCT of a good quality which does not exhibit any clinical effect. Given that there is no positive clinical effect, risk and burdens outweigh the benefit  | J |                            |  |

#### Table 1. Summary of Evidence Scores and Implications for Recommendation

RCT, randomized controlled trial.



#### Nerve connecting eye to Ass : evidence





## FROM WHEELCHAIR TO WORK:







#### Simon : Wheel chair to work



- 30 yo male.
- Orchidectomy Nov 2012. Painpost op  $\rightarrow$  right testicle.
- Multiple private pain consults.
- Feb 2014 R iliolinguinal and R genitofem NB.
- April 2014 & July 2014:
- ♠ fentanyl 25→37 mcg/hr



#### Assessment : July 2015

- Morphine 480 mg/day
- Wheel chair bound
- Socially isolated
- Unemployed > 6 yr
- Not sexually active
- Low mood, anxious
- Stress and panic

nclh

## PATIENT JOURNEY BEGINS...



APL pathway's pre-implant pain management programme to prepare patients to live well with chronic pain and a stimulator device.



#### THE NEUROMODULATION PATHWAY



#### APL MDT ASSESSMENT

#### **Resilience indicators**

- Engages positively with help (CBT for depression, attended LINK PWP)
- ▲↓ opioids with the support of the opioid reduction team & maintained this
- Good social/family
   support
- Continues to try to actively apply skills
- Realistic expectations re neuromodulation ("not a cure")

tals NHS Foundation Trust



Helpful: Distraction (X-box, movies, etc.), special cushion, medication, mindful meditation, pacing & sleep hygiene strategies, project engagement
Unhelpful: avoids physical activity, reliance on wheelchair, escalating opioid use (past), deliberate self-harm (past)

# DAY 1: WORKING TOWARDS VALUED GOALS

IDENTIFYING VALUES What direction do you want to travel in? What is important to you? What do you real care about?





MOVING FROM VALUES TO GOALS

Translating values into SMART goals?

What steps can you take this month/week/today to move in your valued direction? What might get in the way?

0

#### DAY 2: BREAKING THROUGH BARRIERS

The Passengers on the bus metaphor





## DAY 3: COMMUNICATIONS AND INTIMACY AND FLARE UP PLANNING



"I'm not afraid of intimacy, as long as it's shallow, meaningless intimacy that doesn't reveal too much about me."

University College London Hospitals

## DAY 4: REVIEW AND MAINTAINANCE



Prochaska, J. O., & DiClemente, C. C. (1983). Stages and processes of selfchange of smoking: Toward an integrative model of change. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 51(3), 390-395.



## STIMULATOR IMPLANTATION



APL Day 1 University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

- NRB: Sept 2018
- 2 wk trial: SCS anterograde /retrograde
- Implant Oct18
- Dec18 rv: Wound check, programming







## OUTCOMES (4/12 POST IMPLANT)

#### "Neuromodulation has

given me a new lease of





- 55-60% pain  $\downarrow$ , activity, meds  $\downarrow$
- No wheelchair: walking & driving independently
- Starting work: Domiciliary nurse (training)
- Mood . Fatigue persists & some flare ups but keeping moving
- New IPG site pain (minor)
- +ve family impact can leave Simon home alone
- Looking forward to starting job, independence, family activity, dog-walking



UK primary care database



nclh

University College London Hospitals

#### Acute v/s Chronic Pain

- Symptom v/s Disease
- Protective v/s Prohibitive
- Mechanisms
- Nervous system





nclh

University College London Hospitals

#### **Current Practice**

- One pill fits all
- FDA : 100 commonly used drug that doesn't work as desired
- Clopidogrel : 25% have defective enzyme to activate
- Deadly ?



**NHS Foundation Trust** 

## NICE (TA – 159)



uclh

#### Effect of SCS related to stimulation site along neuro-axis

SCS

Cervical

High Thoracic

Middle Thoracic

Low Thoracic

Sacral





## Organ Response

- 1. Bronchodilation
- 2. Peripheral vasodilation
- 3. Stabilization of ICNS Reduction of Ischemia and Pain Decreased Infarct Size
- 4. Decreased Colonic Spasms Pain Reduction
- 5. Peripheral vasodilation

6. Decreased Bladder Spasticity Increased volume tolerance



uclh

University College London Hospitals

**NHS Foundation Trust** 















#### Hyper-excitability of WDR neurons reduced by SCS



nclh



#### **Neuromodulation : Indications**

- Neuropathic pain
- FBSS/Back pain
- Radicular pain
- CRPS
- Cranial pain /Facial
- Pelvic Pain /Visceral pain
- Angina
   Periphe
  - Peripheral ischemic pain





uclh

#### RCT in Neuropathic pain

| Author       | Journal       | Рор                     | Intervent                | Comparison | Outcome                           |
|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Kemler 2000  | NEJM          | CRPS – 36pt<br>2:1      | SCS – MDT 4<br>contact   | Physio     | 6/12<br>+ (p<0.001)               |
| Kemler 2004  | Ann Neurol    | CRPS – 36pt<br>2:1 (35) | SCS – MDT 4<br>contact   | Physio     | 2 year<br>+ (p=0.001)             |
| North 2005   | Neurosurg     | FBSS – 50pt<br>1:1      | SCS – MDT<br>4 contact   | Surgery    | +<br>(p< 0.01)                    |
| Kumar 2007   | Pain          | FBSS– 100pt<br>1:1      | SCS – MDT<br>4,8 contact | CMM        | 6/12<br>+                         |
| Kemler 2008  | J.Neurosug    | CRPS – 36pt<br>2:1 (31) | SCS – MDT 4<br>contact   | Physio     | 5 year<br>- (p=0.24)<br>+ 95% SCS |
| De Vos 2014  | Pain          | PDPN -60pt<br>2:1       | SCS                      | BMT        | 6/12<br>+ (p<0.001)               |
| Slangen 2014 | Diabetes Care | PDPN– 36pt<br>2:1 (36)  | SCS                      | BMT        | 6/12<br>+ (p<0.001)               |



uclh

University College London Hospitals

#### 6 months primary outcome



Kumar et al. Pain 132; 179-188, 2007



#### HF-10 Chronic Low Back Pain

Europe SENZA RCT





University College London Hospitals



## University College London Hospitals

- 1.1 Spinal cord stimulation is recommended as a treatment option for adults with chronic pain of neuropathic origin who:
  - continue to experience chronic pain (measuring at least 50 mm on a 0–100 mm visual analogue scale) for at least 6 months despite appropriate conventional medical management, and
  - who have had a successful trial of stimulation as part of the assessment specified in recommendation 1.3.



2.2 Neuropathic pain is initiated or caused by nervous system damage or dysfunction. Neuropathic pain is difficult to manage because affected people often have a complex history with unclear or diverse causes and comorbidities. Neuropathic conditions include failed back surgery syndrome (FBSS) and complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS). People with FBSS continue to have back and/or leg pain despite anatomically successful lumbar spine surgery. It is not easy to identify a specific cause of neuropathic pain and people with FBSS may experience mixed back and leg pain. CRPS may happen after a harmful

## Summary

chronic pain is complex.

Pain has bio-psycho-social factors e.g. chronic pain can cause anger, hopelessness, sadness and anxiety; and lead to unhelpful behaviours. Pain psychology addresses the impact on these factors..

Medical treatments e.g. medication, surgery, injections and physical therapy may help in the management of chronic pain and pain psychology treatments contribute to these approaches.

Understanding moods, thoughts and behaviours associated with chronic pain can support our ability to cope more effectively. Gatchel, R., McGeary, D., McGeary, C., & Lippe, B. (2014) Dr Shoma Khan, Counselling Psychologist UCLH

#### Pain Management Psychology Wide lens view of the patient

Ax of moods, thinking, socialising, sleep, routine, risks, culture/language, relationships, beliefs about of pain (self-awareness)

Current self-management e.g. medication, helpful strategies, support, stress management (skills building)

Unhelpful patterns e.g. isolation, thinking traps, communication style, medication over-use, activity avoidance (self-awareness)

Goal e.g. work, socialising, hobbies. (skills building)

Expectations e.g. cure-seeking (self-awareness)

#### **Self-awareness + skills building improves wellbeing**

## Pain management psychology treatment



McCracken, L., & Vowles, K. (2014)

Jensen, M., & Turk, D. (2014)

## Why involve a specialist pain psychologist?

- Identifying + making recommendations for patients with high-risk factors e.g. suicide/self harm, opiate use, PTSD
- Contribute to MDT assessments for pain interventions e.g. stimulators
- Collaborate with patients to practice behavioural-psych strategies e.g. graded desensitisation towards activity goals/ planning for procedures / opioid reduction
- Identify + refer e.g. psychiatric care, sleep specialist, cognitive assessments, trauma therapy
- Individualise MDT treatment plans sensitively respecting diversity e.g. addressing cultural/spiritual beliefs, working with interpreters, adapting communications for learning needs
- Attend + participate in interdisciplinary team meetings
- Provide regular supervision / reflective practice meetings to professionals in the MDT e.g. pain doctors, clinical specialist nurses, physiotherapists

#### References

Andrew R, Derry S, Taylor RS, Straube S, Phillips CJ. Pain Pract. 2014 Jan; 14(1):79-94. Epub 2013 Mar 6.

Fayaz A, Croft P, Langford RM, et alPrevalence of chronic pain in the UK: a systematic review and meta-analysis of population studiesBMJ Open 2016;6:e010364. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010364

Gatchel, R., McGeary, D., McGeary, C., & Lippe, B. (2014). Interdiscipinary chronic pain management. American Psychologist, 69(2) 119-130.

Grant, Rees, Underwood, Fround (2019). Obstacles to returning to work with chronic pain: in-depth interviews with people who are off work due to chronic pain and employers. BMC Musculoskelet Disord;20(1):486. doi: 10.1186/s12891-019-2877-5.

Jensen, M., & Turk, D. (2014). Contributions of psychology to the understanding and treatment of people with chronic pain. *American Psychologist*, 69(2), 105-118.

McCracken, L., & Vowles, K. (2014). Acceptance and Commitment Therapy and Chronic Pain. American Psychologist, 69(2), 178-187.

Polenick, Brooks, Berditt (2017). Own and partner pain intensity in older couples: longitudinal effects on depressive symptoms. Pain;158(8):1546-1553. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000949.

Rayner, L., Hotopf, M., Petkova, H., Matcham, F., Simpson, A., & McCracken, L. M. (2016). Depression in patients with chronic pain attending a specialised pain treatment centre: prevalence and impact on health care costs. Pain, 157(7), 1472–1479. doi:10.1097/j.pain.000000000000542



## **Biopsychosocial experience**

- Chronic pain affects between 1/3 and ½ of the population of the UK, corresponding to just under 28 million adults (Fayaz et al. 2016)
- Pain patients face obstacles returning to work (Grant et al. 2019)
- High prevalence of depression in chronic pain patients (Rayner et al., 2016)
- Chronic pain impedes activities of daily living (Andrew et al., 2014)
- Possibility of both individual + spousal associations between pain intensity and depressive symptoms in later life (Polenick 2017)

# 7 Million years ago



# Are we ready to jump?





uclh

Dunning-Kruger Effect

Unskilled and unaware of it: how difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments Kruger, J., & Dunning, D. (1999)

